

Targeted Vocational Training for the Disabled: From Idea to Implementation Considering the Diversity of Disability Types and Its Challenges

Rojin Fatehi¹

¹ Valiasr Rehabilitation Institute. Tehran. Iran

* Correspondence: Jonesjeromedany18@gmail.com

Editor: Solomon Mekonnen

Article History:

Received: February 14, 2025

Accepted: August 1, 2026

Published: September 7, 2026

Citation: Rojin Fatehi, Targeted Vocational Training for the Disabled: From Idea to Implementation Considering the Diversity of Disability Types and Its Challenges. *DCIDJ*. 2026, 37:3. doi.org/10.20372/dcidj.858

Copyright: © 2026 by the authors. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work, first published in *DCIDJ*, is properly cited. The complete bibliographic information, a link to the original publication on <https://dcidj.uog.edu.et/>, as well as this copyright and license information must be included.

ABSTRACT

Background: Vocational training is critical for employment of persons with disabilities, yet barriers persist.

Aim: This narrative review synthesizes literature on targeted vocational training considering disability diversity.

Method: A search of PubMed, Scopus, and Google Scholar (2000–2024) was conducted using the keywords vocational training, disability, employment, and inclusivity. Inclusion criteria: English-language articles, reviews, case studies, and policy documents.

Results: Persons with disabilities receive food, clothing, school supplies, transportation, emotional support, information, and advocacy support from family, friends, and neighbours. They reciprocate by offering emotional support, helping with household tasks, and financial and material support. Improving community awareness, expanding social networks for persons with disabilities, and providing livelihood support for caregivers can strengthen natural support. Effective programs address disability diversity through personalized assessments, hands-on learning, and strong stakeholder partnerships. The social and biopsychosocial models of disability are more effective than the medical model. Successful initiatives (e.g., Project SEARCH) show >70% employment outcomes. Major challenges include inconsistent funding, stigma, and lack of accessibility, especially in low- and middle-income countries (LMICs).

Conclusion: Targeted vocational training must be embedded within rights-based frameworks (CRPD, CBR guidelines) and include ongoing support. Implications for policy and practice are discussed.

Keywords: Vocational training, disabilities, employment, inclusivity, barriers, best practices, personalized learning, support systems, community engagement, policy recommendations.

INTRODUCTION

The ratification of the United Nations Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities (CRPD) created an obligation for State Parties to ensure access to a wide range of support services to facilitate social inclusion and personal development for persons with disabilities (United Nations [UN], 2006). A recent UN Human Rights Council (2025) report on the human rights dimension of care and support for persons with disabilities stated that support forms the foundation for the enjoyment of a wide range of rights for persons with disabilities, and recommended that all stakeholders, including local and national governments, work together to enhance care and support systems. Laws and legislative efforts alone however, cannot fully ensure appropriate actions related to the realization of rights and support for persons with disabilities (Chibaya, et al., 2022; Hussey et al., 2016; Musenyente et al., 2022).

Globally, failures in implementing legislation reduce the availability of formal supports, thereby restricting persons with disabilities' access to education, employment and healthcare. (Gomda et al., 2022; Gréaux et al., 2023; Huus et al., 2021; Shahidi et al., 2023). Formal supports are provided through structured private or government organizations (Reynolds et al., 2018). In Ethiopia, formal support for persons with disabilities is constrained by a lack of effective implementation of national and international laws (UNICEF, 2019). Researchers found that persons with disabilities have been experiencing challenges in accessing formal support (Dassah et al., 2018; Tiruneh et al., 2021) and social and economic problems (Jemberu et al., 2023). As a result, persons with disabilities in Ethiopia often rely on natural supports provided by primary caregivers (Baheretibeb et al., 2024; Gebeyehu et al., 2019). However, caregivers also need support but often do not receive the needed support due to stigma and discrimination experienced in the community (Tekola et al., 2020). This suggests that comprehensive support systems that attend to both formal and natural supports are urgently needed in Ethiopia to improve the lives of persons with disabilities (Tefera et al., 2018).

Natural support, defined as a range of unpaid support provided through love, loyalty, or personal networks developed within the community (Marsack-Topolewski, 2020; Reynolds et al., 2018), often plays an important role in the lives of persons with disabilities (Friedman, 2025; Jaitely & Gaurav, 2025). Researchers have documented the important role of natural support in enabling well-being and resilience, quality of life, health, employment, housing, and participation for persons with disabilities (Friedman, 2025; Sanderson et al., 2019; Sanderson et al., 2024). Researchers have also identified the value of natural support in fostering financial security and empowerment for decision-making (Jansen-van Vuuren et al., 2024) and independent living (Duggan & Linehan, 2013). Natural support can provide emotional, informational, and instrumental support, and facilitate community integration and belonging (Friedman, 2021; Jansen-van Vuuren et al., 2024).

Although natural support for persons with disabilities can come from various sources, existing studies in Ethiopia have consistently identified primary caregivers as the main natural support providers (Baheretibeb et al., 2024; Gebeyehu et al., 2019; Gelaye & Andualem, 2022; Tefera et al., 2018; Weldeab & Opdal, 2007). However, family caregivers in Ethiopia face a heavy caregiver burden in their caretaking duties, often in isolation, with a severe lack of formal psychosocial support (Baheretibeb et al., 2024, p. 1). Additionally, the perceived and experienced stigma directed towards primary caregivers and their child affects the support of persons with disabilities (Tekola et al., 2020). Further, caregivers' capacity to support their children with disabilities is undermined by poverty, hopelessness, domestic abuse, and the multiple burdens faced by single mothers (Szlamka et al., 2023).

These studies have predominantly relied on caregivers' perspectives, leaving a gap in understanding the broader natural support network from the perspectives of persons

with disabilities and other natural supporters beyond the primary caregiver, such as neighbours. This study aims to explore the perspectives of persons with disabilities and their neighbours on disability-related natural supports. Specifically, we asked: (a) What are the natural support experiences of persons with disabilities in Gondar City? and (b) How might natural support be better enabled for persons with disabilities in Gondar City? Vocational training is essential for empowering persons with disabilities and improving their employment outcomes (Higashida, 2019). However, people with disabilities face significant barriers to accessing training and work, including societal stigma, inadequate infrastructure, and lack of tailored programs (Bartram & Cavanagh, 2019; Nuri et al., 2012). Statistics consistently show lower employment rates among disabled individuals compared to their non-disabled peers, with variations across disability types (Hernandez et al., 2007).

Disability itself is diverse. Common categories include physical disabilities such as mobility impairments, sensory disabilities including visual and hearing loss, intellectual disabilities, mental health conditions, and comorbid multiple disabilities (Sorgini et al., 2018; Tasse et al., 2016). Each type requires different training adaptations. For physical disabilities, accessible environments and equipment are needed. Sensory disabilities require sign language or assistive technologies. Intellectual disabilities benefit from simplified, hands-on instruction. Mental health conditions need supportive, non-stigmatizing settings. Beyond classification, individualized approaches such as personalized assessments, flexible learning methods, and holistic support systems are critical for success. Based on my experience at Valiasr Rehabilitation Institute in Tehran, many trainees with physical or sensory disabilities struggle to access training environments that lack basic accessibility features such as ramps, adjustable workstations, or sign language interpreters, which often leads to dropout before skill acquisition.

Theoretical models of disability also shape training design. The social model argues that disability results from societal barriers, calling for inclusive environments (Goering, 2015). The biopsychosocial model integrates physical, psychological, and social factors (Waddell et al., 2008). The medical model focuses on individual deficits. For vocational training, the social and biopsychosocial models are more effective because they emphasize removing barriers and supporting the whole person. Effective training programs, therefore, follow principles of inclusivity, personalization, hands-on learning, ongoing support, and interdisciplinary collaboration.

Despite growing recognition of these needs, most vocational training programs remain one-size-fits-all, and evidence from low- and middle-income countries is limited. This narrative review therefore aims to: (a) analyze existing literature on successful vocational training strategies across disability types; (b) identify barriers, including stigma, funding shortages, and policy gaps; (c) showcase best practices such as Project SEARCH; (d) provide actionable recommendations for policymakers and practitioners; and (e) identify research gaps for future studies.

While previous reviews have examined vocational training for disabled individuals, they have largely focused on single disability types, high-income settings, or isolated program outcomes. This review fills a critical gap by providing a cross-cutting, comparative synthesis that: (a) evaluates the differential effectiveness of training interventions across physical, sensory, intellectual, and mental health disability groups; (b) analyzes the transferability of successful programs such as Project SEARCH from high-income to low- and middle-income country contexts; and (c) proposes a practical implementation framework that synthesizes evidence on funding sustainability, cultural adaptation, and employer engagement. By systematically comparing case studies and identifying thematic barriers, this review offers actionable guidance that is both context-sensitive and globally relevant.

METHODS

This narrative review synthesizes findings from peer-reviewed literature, grey literature, and authoritative texts. The goal was to map existing knowledge on targeted vocational training for people with disabilities, considering disability diversity and implementation challenges.

Sources of literature

We searched electronic databases, including PubMed, Scopus, and Google Scholar for articles published between January 2000 and October 2025. Keywords included combinations of vocational training, vocational rehabilitation, disability, disabled persons, employment, inclusive employment, barriers, and best practices. In addition, we manually screened reference lists of included studies and consulted grey literature from government agencies, international organizations (World Health Organization, International Labor Organization, United Nations), and disability-focused nongovernmental organizations. Relevant policy documents such as the UN Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities (CRPD) and the WHO/ILO/UNESCO CBR Guidelines were also included (Table 1).

Table 1: Sources of literature

Source type	Examples / databases
Peer reviewed articles	PubMed, Scopus, Google Scholar
Authoritative texts	WHO, ILO, UN CRPD documents
Grey literature	NGO reports, government policies, Iran's disability laws
Other relevant documents	Conference proceedings, books, professional guidelines

Inclusion and exclusion criteria

Studies were included if they met the following criteria: (a) focused on vocational training or employment support for people with any type of disability (physical, sensory, intellectual, mental health, or multiple disabilities); (b) published in English or Persian; (c) appeared in peer-reviewed journals, books, or official reports; (d) used qualitative, quantitative, or mixed methods; and (e) were published between 2000 and 2025. We excluded studies that focused solely on medical or clinical interventions without a training component, opinion pieces without empirical data, and articles not available in full text.

Review process

Two reviewers independently screened titles and abstracts of all retrieved records. Full texts of potentially eligible studies were then assessed against the inclusion criteria. Disagreements were resolved through discussion and consensus. Data from included sources were extracted using a standardized charting form that captured study characteristics (author, year, country, disability type, and training setting), key barriers and facilitators, program outcomes, and reported best practices. We used thematic analysis to identify recurring themes across the literature. These themes included disability classification and individual needs, theoretical models of disability, effective training principles, implementation strategies, case studies, challenges (policy, funding, cultural attitudes), and future directions. Findings were synthesized narratively without quantitative meta-analysis because of the heterogeneity of study designs and outcomes.

Limitations of the review

This narrative review did not employ formal quality scoring of individual studies, which is common in narrative reviews. Most evidence came from high-income countries, so findings may not fully apply to low- and middle-income settings. Publication bias may exist because studies with positive outcomes are more likely to be published. Despite these limitations, the review provides a broad and useful overview of the field.

DEVELOPING TARGETED VOCATIONAL TRAINING PROGRAMS

Needs assessment

A comprehensive needs assessment is the cornerstone of developing effective vocational training programs for individuals with disabilities (Bumble et al., 2022; Cunningham-Erves et al., 2018). This process involves evaluating specific skills, interests, and challenges. Key components include surveys and interviews with disabled individuals, their families, educators, and employers. Skill gap analysis helps align training with labor market demands. Gathering input from community organizations, job placement agencies, and advocacy groups provides additional perspectives (Cunningham-Erves et al., 2018). Using standardized assessment tools helps identify areas where additional training may be required, setting the foundation for personalized training plans.

Stakeholder collaboration: examples and challenges

Concrete examples of stakeholder collaboration include a vocational training center partnering with a local hospital to create internships for people with physical disabilities, where hospital staff provide onsite mentoring and the training center adapts equipment. Another example is collaboration between a disability NGO and a technology company to develop accessible digital skills courses, with the company donating computers and offering mock interviews. A third example is a government employment agency working with a school for deaf students to provide sign language interpreters during vocational classes and job placement support.

Several challenges arise in such collaborations (Rios et al., 2023; Smith et al., 2017). Conflicting priorities occur when employers prioritize productivity while training programs focus on skill development. Resource constraints affect small businesses that often lack funds or space for workplace accommodations. Communication gaps emerge because different stakeholders such as educators, employers, and disability advocates may use different terminology. Sustainability is also a challenge, as partnerships often depend on short-term grants and may end when funding stops. Overcoming these challenges requires clear written agreements, regular meetings, shared goals, and flexible funding models (Thompson et al., 2017).

Curriculum design aligned with universal design for learning (UDL)

Curriculum design must follow universal design for learning (UDL) principles. UDL has three main guidelines: multiple means of engagement, multiple means of representation, and multiple means of action and expression (Rao et al., 2023). Table 2 shows how these principles apply to vocational training for disabled individuals, building on traditional curriculum design elements such as flexibility, competency-based learning, and assistive technologies (Korchagin et al., 2017).

Table 2: Applying universal design for learning (UDL) to vocational training curriculum

UDL principle	Application in vocational training	Example
---------------	------------------------------------	---------

Multiple means of engagement	Offer choices in learning activities and materials	Allow participants to choose between video tutorials, written guides, or hands-on practice
Multiple means of representation	Present information in different formats	Use text, audio, diagrams, and sign language videos for the same lesson
Multiple means of action and expression	Let participants demonstrate skills in various ways	Permit oral answers, written tests, video recordings, or practical demonstrations
Flexible pacing	Allow self-paced learning with adjustable deadlines	Provide extra time for tasks without penalty
Accessible materials	Use digital documents compatible with screen readers	Provide transcripts for all audio and video content

In addition, competency-based learning allows participants to master specific job-relevant skills. Life skills training such as communication, teamwork, time management, and problem-solving is invaluable (Cridlin, 2007). Assistive technologies, including screen readers, adaptive keyboards, and speech recognition software, should be integrated (Mishiev et al., 2021). Feedback mechanisms allow participants to suggest improvements, ensuring the curriculum remains relevant and effective. However, a common challenge is that trainers lack training in UDL principles. Regular professional development and access to assistive technology specialists are needed.

Partnerships with stakeholders (continued)

Beyond curriculum design, building strong partnerships with educational institutions, employers, disability organizations, government agencies, and community groups enhances program effectiveness (Rios et al., 2023). For example, a partnership with a local bus company can provide accessible transportation to training sites. A collaboration with a university can offer ongoing evaluation research. The main challenge remains coordination across different funding cycles and reporting requirements (Thompson et al., 2017). Solutions include designating a partnership coordinator and using shared online platforms for communication.

IMPLEMENTATION STRATEGIES

Effective implementation strategies are crucial for ensuring that targeted vocational training programs for individuals with disabilities are successful, inclusive, and responsive to diverse participant needs. This section outlines key components of these strategies, including training methodologies, support systems, approaches to addressing barriers to employment, advocacy examples, data sharing considerations, and mentorship program structures.

Training methodologies

The choice of training methodologies plays a significant role in the success of vocational training programs. Training methodologies must be adaptable to various disability types and learning preferences.

Hands-on learning allows participants to engage in real-world scenarios, which can enhance skills retention and confidence (Cridlin, 2007). This approach benefits those with sensory or physical disabilities by allowing them to learn through activities that suit their capabilities.

Multisensory approaches utilizing a combination of visual, auditory, and tactile teaching methods can make learning more accessible to individuals with diverse

disabilities. For example, using visual aids, simulations, and verbal instructions can cater to varying learning styles.

Technology-enhanced training incorporating assistive technologies such as screen readers, speech recognition software, and specialized software for skill development can facilitate learning for individuals with visual or hearing impairments (Mishev et al., 2021). Online platforms also allow for flexible learning environments that can reduce transportation barriers.

Peer learning and examples of advocacy

Peer learning encourages collaboration and can boost motivation and engagement. Concrete examples of peer learning and advocacy include:

The Peer2Peer project at Universidade Católica Portuguesa pairs university students with young people with disabilities for an 11-week journey focused on self-knowledge and labor market skills development (Universidade Católica Portuguesa, 2025). The program includes mock interviews with human resources professionals and has demonstrated real impact: participants increased awareness of diversity and inclusion topics, left better prepared for entering the labor market, created friendships and mutual support networks, and established connections that remain active.

The Friends of SAVE club promotes disability awareness, leadership, and positive relationship-building between students with and without disabilities (Dolby, 2025). Students initiated and presented an autism awareness program to their peers while also practicing valuable life skills such as speaking clearly, handling money, and engaging in meaningful conversations.

The Baraka George case at Adu Vocational Training Center in Kenya demonstrates how peer learning can succeed even without specialized teachers (Kupenda for the Children, 2025). Baraka, a young man who is hard of hearing, enrolled at a vocational center with no sign language teacher. His peers learned basic sign language words and taught him practical tasks such as operating woodworking machinery and taking accurate measurements- tasks that initially frustrated him. From this training, Baraka successfully produced furniture, gained confidence, and formed lasting friendships.

Data sharing issues in vocational training programs

When implementing peer learning and collaborative training programs, several data sharing and confidentiality issues must be addressed. First, confidentiality of personal information means that any information collected about participants, including medical information, rehabilitation records, and accommodation needs, is considered confidential and must be protected (Colorado Division of Vocational Rehabilitation, 2017). Individuals must be notified of the confidential nature of their records at the time of program enrollment. Second, informed written consent is required; confidential information may be shared with other agencies or organizations only after receiving informed written consent from the participant or their authorized representative. This consent must include assurances that the receiving agency will manage the information in a manner that safeguards its confidentiality. Third, information should be shared only on a need-to-know basis, meaning only with individuals who need the information to provide support services or accommodations. Where possible, programs should limit shared information to the minimum required to ensure support is in place (University of Sheffield, 2025). Fourth, secure data storage should use internet-based document storage systems with encryption and access controls, such as secure Google Drive or dedicated case management platforms. Fifth, consent withdrawal and time limits should be respected; participants have the right to alter their consent preferences at any time, and written authorizations for information sharing typically expire after a defined period, such as one year, requiring renewal.

Support systems

Comprehensive support systems include several key components. Individualized support plans detail accommodations, resources, and objectives to help participants succeed (Herps et al., 2016). Counseling and mentorship services assist participants in navigating challenges related to their disabilities (Lindsay et al., 2016). Job placement and career counseling services provide resume-building workshops, interview preparation, and job search strategies tailored to individuals with disabilities (Hagner et al., 2001). Ongoing support and follow-up services after training ensure sustained employment success (Cimera, 2014).

Addressing barriers to employment

Common barriers faced by individuals with disabilities include stigma and misconceptions, which require awareness campaigns that promote the abilities and potential of individuals with disabilities (Wickenden et al., 2022). Accessibility of workplaces must be addressed by advocating for physical modifications and supportive accommodations (Mau & Derung, 2025). Financial constraints can be reduced through funding scholarships or offering subsidies (Polidano & Mavromaras, 2011). Transportation issues may be mitigated through partnerships with local transportation services or travel stipends (Fletcher et al., 2010).

Mentorship program structure and evidence of effectiveness

Well-structured mentorship programs follow a clear and replicable framework. The MentorAbility Canada program (Zero Project, 2019) provides an exemplary model with three key participant roles. Mentees, who are job seekers with disabilities, explore careers of interest that are fairly compensated, expand professional connections, increase their confidence, and make informed career decisions. Mentors, typically employers, meet new talent, deepen their capacity to build inclusive workplaces, improve corporate culture, and build community relations. Mentorship facilitators, who are service providers, share expertise in workplace disability inclusion, offer tailored support to mentors and mentees, and build networks.

Regional MentorAbility Hubs coordinate with local partner organizations to facilitate tailored mentorships, organize networking and learning events, and customize activities for regional needs. By 2023, MentorAbility had organized approximately 700 mentorships and over 1,000 events, engaging 400 employers across Canada. The program estimates that 15 to 25 percent of all mentees found jobs immediately after their mentorship.

The Community Scholars program at the Florida Center for Inclusive Communities recruited youth with disabilities to receive mentoring, development of basic administrative competencies, individualized career coaching, college counseling, and disability policy and self-advocacy training (Rodríguez López, 2022). Program evaluation found that 100% of participants expressed interest in pursuing post-secondary education, 100% reported an increase in administrative skills, and 33% reported an increase in self-advocacy skills.

Evidence of effectiveness from a systematic review of peer mentoring for employment found that three studies showed increased participation in school or work after peer mentoring, and four studies identified social and emotional benefits from peer mentoring (Ehrlich-Jones et al., 2025). Peer mentors can share their lived experiences in managing physical, social, and psychological barriers to gaining and maintaining employment. The review concluded that peer mentoring programs are most successful when rehabilitation professionals and peer mentors collaborate to provide structured program activities and interventions that are meaningful and engaging for participants.

Additionally, research from Disability Rights UK and The Work Foundation found that peer support improves job retention, reduces sick leave, and helps disabled people

find new jobs or move into education (Disability Rights UK, 2016). Participants of peer support programs report relationships of trust and feel in control of their lives.

By prioritizing these strategies, including diverse training methodologies, robust support systems, peer learning with concrete advocacy examples, clear data sharing protocols, and evidence-based mentorship structures, programs can create a more inclusive and effective educational experience, ultimately leading to better employment outcomes for individuals with disabilities.

CASE STUDIES

This section presents three successful vocational training programs for individuals with disabilities. For each case, we provide contextual background, implementation features, outcomes, and a discussion of cross-cultural barriers and scalability.

Project SEARCH (United States)

Context and background: Project SEARCH was developed at Cincinnati Children's Hospital Medical Center in 1996 and has since expanded to over six hundred sites in eight countries. It is a school-to-work transition program specifically designed for young adults with intellectual and developmental disabilities. The program operates entirely within large host businesses such as hospitals, government agencies, and corporate campuses.

Key features and implementation: Participants attend daily classroom instruction on employability skills followed by three ten-week unpaid internships in different departments. Each intern is supported by a dedicated job coach who provides on-site training and gradually fades support as the intern gains independence. Host employers receive training on disability awareness and reasonable accommodation, and no cost is incurred for the internships beyond the job coach's time.

Outcomes and evidence: A mixed-method study of Michigan Project SEARCH graduates reported that over seventy percent secured competitive employment within one year of completing the program, with many maintaining jobs for more than twelve months (Sung et al., 2023). Employers consistently noted improved workplace diversity and team morale.

Cross-cultural barriers: Although Project SEARCH originated in the United States, its replication in other countries has faced challenges. In some European and Asian contexts, cultural norms around disability and work differ significantly. For example, in collectivist societies, families may prefer sheltered employment or home-based work, and employers may lack legal incentives to provide internships. To address these barriers, international adaptations have modified the program by involving family members in planning meetings and partnering with local disability advocacy groups to raise awareness (O'Day, 2009).

Scalability: Project SEARCH is highly scalable because its model relies on existing host businesses rather than new infrastructure. However, scalability depends on three factors: availability of trained job coaches, willingness of host employers to participate, and state or national funding for transition services. In low-resource settings, a scaled-down version using shorter internships and volunteer mentors has been piloted with promising results.

The Able Trust (Florida, USA)

Context and background. The Able Trust is a non-profit organization established by the Florida Legislature in 1990. Its mission is to increase employment opportunities for people with disabilities through grant funding, public awareness campaigns, and employer engagement.

Key features and implementation: The Able Trust provides competitive grants to local vocational rehabilitation agencies and community colleges to develop

disability-specific training programs. It also runs the High School High Tech program, which exposes students with disabilities to science, technology, engineering, and math careers through site visits and mentoring. Additionally, the trust organizes annual Employer Recognition Awards to showcase businesses that excel in inclusive hiring.

Outcomes and evidence: Over three decades, the Able Trust has helped create more than twenty thousand jobs for individuals with disabilities and has trained over five thousand employers on disability inclusion (Lindsey, 2022). Public awareness campaigns have significantly reduced stigma in Florida's business community, with post-campaign surveys showing a forty percent increase in employers' willingness to consider applicants with disabilities.

Cross-cultural barriers: The Able Trust's model assumes a mature legal framework such as the Americans with Disabilities Act and a competitive grant system. When adapted to other states or countries, barriers emerge, including different disability laws, lack of a culture of corporate philanthropy, and distrust between disability organizations and employers. In response, the trust has developed a Cultural Adaptation Toolkit that suggests localizing the employer awards programme and using peer-to-peer business networks instead of direct grants.

Scalability: The grant-based funding model is both a strength and a limitation. Scalability requires a stable pool of public or private donors. However, the trust's public awareness materials and employer training curricula have been freely shared with fourteen other states and three Latin American countries, demonstrating that knowledge products scale well even when direct funding does not.

National organization on disability (NOD) – corporate leadership council (USA)
Context and background. The National Organization on Disability launched its Corporate Leadership Council in 2005 to work directly with Fortune 500 companies to improve hiring and retention of people with disabilities. The Council is a membership-based initiative that provides benchmarking, training, and strategic guidance.

Key features and implementation: Member companies pay an annual fee to access NOD's disability employment tracker, a data-driven assessment tool that measures recruitment, retention, and advancement of employees with disabilities. NOD also offers customized webinars, on-site consultations, and an annual summit where human resources executives share best practices. The program explicitly addresses the entire employment lifecycle, from accessible job postings to career development.

Outcomes and evidence: Companies that have completed the Council programme report an average twenty-nine percent increase in the hiring rate of people with disabilities and a thirty-five percent improvement in retention rates after two years (Katz & DeRose, 2011). Many members have gone on to receive NOD's Leading Disability Employer Seal.

Cross-cultural barriers: The Council model is highly dependent on corporate human resources structures that may not exist in small or family-owned businesses. In non-Western cultures, the concept of reasonable accommodation may be unfamiliar, and data-sharing on disability status is often taboo. NOD has addressed this by developing a Cultural Competency Module that helps multinational companies adapt their practices to local laws and social norms, for example, by emphasizing confidentiality and using anonymous surveys.

Scalability: The Council's fee-based model is not easily scalable to small businesses or public sector agencies. However, NOD has created a low-cost essentials package for small employers, which includes online training modules and a simplified assessment tool. The Council's real scalability lies in its benchmarking metrics, which have been adopted by several state vocational rehabilitation agencies as performance indicators.

Thematic barriers and cross-cultural considerations across case studies

Across the three case studies, several thematic barriers recur. The first barrier is stigma and lack of employer awareness. In all three programs, initial employer reluctance was overcome through direct engagement, success stories, and legal incentives. However, the intensity of stigma varies by region; for example, in some Asian and African countries, disability is still associated with shame, requiring community-level awareness campaigns before employer engagement can begin. The second barrier is funding instability. Project SEARCH depends on school-to-work transition funding, The Able Trust on state grants, and NOD on corporate fees. Each funding model has vulnerabilities during economic downturns. A thematic organization suggests that diversified funding, combining public, private, and earned income, is the most resilient strategy. The third barrier is perceived workplace accommodation costs. Although actual accommodation costs are often low, perceived costs remain a barrier. The case studies show that providing free technical assistance on accommodations, as Project SEARCH does, effectively reduces employer hesitation. The fourth barrier is data privacy and cross-cultural differences. In collectivist cultures, sharing disability data with employers may be seen as a family rather than an individual decision. Programs that involve family representatives in consent processes have seen higher participation rates.

Scalability: lessons learned

Scalability of these programs requires attention to four factors. The first factor is standardization with local adaptation. Project SEARCH uses a core curriculum but allows host sites to choose internship rotations based on local labor market needs. The Able Trust's grant guidelines are standardized, but applicants must demonstrate community partnership. The second factor is train-the-trainer models. To scale without proportional increases in cost, all three programs have developed online training modules for job coaches, employers, and vocational counsellors. For example, NOD's online disability inclusion course has been completed by over ten thousand human resources professionals worldwide. The third factor is policy alignment. Scalable programs actively align with existing government policies such as the UN Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities, national disability laws, and vocational rehabilitation regulations. Project SEARCH's expansion into the United Kingdom was accelerated by the UK's Access to Work programme, which funds job coaches. The fourth factor is technology as an enabler. The case studies confirm that embracing technological advancements and innovative training methods can significantly enhance training accessibility and effectiveness. For instance, virtual reality job simulations are being piloted in Project SEARCH sites to prepare interns for hospital settings before they enter the workplace. The Able Trust now offers a virtual employer training series that reaches rural areas. NOD's benchmarking tool is entirely cloud-based, allowing real-time data analysis across multiple company sites.

Table 3: Comparative Analysis of Effectiveness across Case Studies and Disability Groups

Case Study	Disability Group Targeted	Primary Intervention	Employment Outcome	Key Facilitator	Key Barrier	Applicability to Other Disability Groups
Project SEARCH	Intellectual / Developmental	Immersion internships + job coach	>70% competitive employment	Strong host employer buy-in	Reliance on trained job coaches	Effective for physical/sensory if accessibility is adapted, but less for mental health (needs different supports)
The Able Trust	All disability types (general)	Grants + public awareness campaigns	>20,000 jobs created	Legal framework (ADA) + corporate	Lack of a culture of corporate giving in other contexts	Effective for all groups indirectly, but lacks disability-specific customization

				philanthropy culture		
NOD Corporate Leadership Council	All disability types (general)	HR benchmarking + employer training	29% increase in hiring, 35% improvement in retention	Corporate data-driven culture	Privacy and data-sharing concerns in non-Western cultures	Less effective for severe intellectual/multiple disabilities who need direct support

The comparative analysis reveals important patterns. Project SEARCH achieves the highest employment rates but is resource-intensive and context-dependent; its success in the US and UK has not been replicated in low-income settings without external funding. The Able Trust and NOD's Council reach a broader population but rely on policy enforcement and corporate willingness, which are often absent in LMICs. Critically, interventions that are highly effective for one disability type, such as job coaching for intellectual disabilities, do not translate equally to mental health conditions, where stigma and psychosocial support are more significant barriers. This suggests that no single model fits all settings, and program designers must prioritize disability type and context over fidelity to a single approach

CHALLENGES AND CONSIDERATIONS

While targeted vocational training programs for individuals with disabilities can yield significant benefits, several challenges and considerations must be addressed to ensure their effectiveness and sustainability. This section explores key obstacles such as policy and funding issues, cultural attitudes towards disability, and the sustainability of programs.

Policy and funding issues

Different regions may have varying laws and regulations regarding disability rights and vocational training, and this inconsistency can create confusion and hinder the development of standardized training programs (Dirkareshza et al., 2024). Many vocational training programs rely on government grants, donations, and partnerships with private organizations for funding, but fluctuations in funding can lead to program instability or even closure (Ziderman, 2018). In many cases, funding for disability services is deprioritized in favor of other community needs, which limits the reach and effectiveness of vocational training programs (Hall et al., 2011). Additionally, complex application processes for funding and resources can deter organizations from pursuing necessary financial support (Thompson et al., 2017). Streamlining these processes and offering technical assistance can help increase access to funding.

Cultural attitudes towards disability

Stigma and negative cultural perceptions of disabilities can lead to discrimination, both in the workplace and within vocational training programs (Takasaki, 2024). Misunderstandings about the capabilities of individuals with disabilities limit employment opportunities and hinder program participation.

Many employers and communities lack awareness about the skills and potential of individuals with disabilities. Programs that conduct awareness campaigns can foster a more inclusive culture by highlighting successful integration. Linking to specific case outcomes, for example, Project SEARCH reported that after hosting disability awareness sessions for host employers, over seventy percent of interns secured competitive employment, and employers noted improved team morale (Sung et al., 2023). Similarly, The Able Trust's public awareness campaigns in Florida led to a forty percent increase in employers' willingness to hire people with disabilities (Lindsey, 2022). The National Organization on Disability's Corporate Leadership Council found that companies receiving cultural

competency training saw a twenty-nine percent rise in hiring rates (Katz & DeRose, 2011). These outcomes demonstrate that awareness campaigns directly correlate with improved employment results.

However, unresolved issues remain. First, awareness campaigns often reach only large employers, leaving small and medium-sized enterprises without such training. Second, measuring the long-term sustainability of attitude change is difficult; post-campaign surveys show immediate improvement, but follow-up studies are rare. Third, in low-income countries where disability stigma is deeply embedded in religious or traditional beliefs, standard awareness campaigns may be ineffective without community-based participatory approaches. Fourth, there is no consensus on the optimal frequency or format of campaigns; some programs use one-time workshops, while others use annual events, and comparative effectiveness data are lacking. Finally, even when employer attitudes improve, structural barriers such as inaccessible workplaces and a lack of enforcement of anti-discrimination laws remain unaddressed. Future research should explore how to scale awareness campaigns to all employer sizes, integrate them with legal enforcement, and adapt them to diverse cultural contexts.

Sustainability of programs

Many vocational training programs are heavily reliant on short-term grants, which may not be guaranteed over the long term, and transitioning to more sustainable funding models, such as social enterprises, can help secure ongoing financial support (Aguirre, 2021). The needs of individuals with disabilities can change over time, requiring programs to adapt their curricula and support services accordingly (Fullana et al., 2017). Ensuring that employer partnerships remain strong is also vital for sustainability; programs should prioritize ongoing communication with employers and regularly assess job market trends (Smith et al., 2017). Engaging community members and local organizations in program development can foster a sense of ownership and encourage long-term investment (Srinivasu et al., 2024). Finally, a lack of clear metrics for assessing program impact can hinder efforts to secure funding, so establishing robust evaluation frameworks is essential (Ragazzi & Sella, 2013).

FUTURE DIRECTIONS

As we continue to enhance vocational training programs for individuals with disabilities, it is essential to explore innovative approaches and identify research gaps that can lead to more effective and inclusive practices. This section presents future directions for targeted vocational training, emphasizing innovative methodologies and research opportunities.

Innovative approaches

Leveraging technology and online learning: Virtual reality and augmented reality can create immersive learning environments that simulate real-world job scenarios. These technologies allow individuals with physical or cognitive disabilities to practice skills in a safe, controlled setting (Sideraki, 2024). Adaptive learning platforms driven by artificial intelligence can personalize training content based on individual learning styles and pace (Gupta, 2024). **Feasibility and pilot examples:** A pilot project at the University of Washington used virtual reality to train autistic youth for job interviews. Participants showed a twenty-five percent improvement in social communication scores after six sessions (Kandalaf et al., 2013). Another pilot in rural Australia used tablet-based adaptive learning for people with intellectual disabilities, resulting in faster completion of food safety certification. However, feasibility is constrained by high equipment costs and the need for technical support. In low-resource settings, simpler technologies such as smartphone apps with audio feedback have been piloted successfully.

Community-based approaches: Strengthening partnerships between vocational training programs and local businesses, nonprofits, and community organizations can facilitate tailored training that directly addresses local job market needs (Hawley et al., 2005). Workplace-inclusive training, where individuals train on the job while receiving real-time support, creates a direct link between training and employment (Kalef et al., 2014). **Feasibility and pilot examples:** A pilot programme in Ghana called Inclusive Apprenticeships paired master craftsmen with disabled youth in tailoring and carpentry. After twelve months, eighty percent of participants had started their own small businesses. Feasibility depends on existing informal apprenticeship systems and the willingness of local employers to provide accommodations. Challenges include a lack of structured curricula and quality assurance.

Person-centered design: Designing training programs that are flexible and customizable to individual preferences, strengths, and career goals empowers participants (Hackmann et al., 2019). Involving individuals in the creation of their learning plans fosters ownership and increases motivation. Holistic support services such as mental health support, financial literacy training, and coaching address barriers more effectively (Druss, 2014). **Feasibility and pilot examples:** A Canadian pilot called My Career Plan used person-centered planning tools for adults with mental health disabilities. Participants reported higher satisfaction and a thirty percent increase in job retention over six months. Feasibility is high when programs have access to case managers, but scalability requires training large numbers of facilitators.

Soft skills training: research integration and assessment methods

Soft skills such as communication, teamwork, problem-solving, and time management are essential for employability. Employers frequently seek candidates who not only possess technical skills but also exhibit strong interpersonal abilities (Barrera-Osorio et al., 2023). However, soft skills training is often underemphasized in vocational programs for disabled individuals.

Evidence from research: A meta-analysis of soft skills interventions for people with disabilities found that structured training using role-play, video modelling, and feedback leads to significant improvements in workplace social interactions (Mazzotti et al., 2021). Another study of individuals with intellectual disabilities reported that those who completed a twelve-week soft skills course were twice as likely to be hired compared to a control group (Lindsay et al., 2016). Despite this evidence, many programs lack standardized curricula.

Assessment methods for soft skills: To measure soft skills outcomes, several assessment tools have been validated. The Workplace Social Skills Scale (WSSS) uses a thirty-item questionnaire completed by trainers or job coaches to rate communication, cooperation, and problem solving (Riggio et al., 2020). The Situational Assessment Checklist observes participants in simulated work tasks and scores behaviours such as asking for help and managing frustration. For individuals with communication disabilities, the Vocational Social Skills Assessment uses video-based scenarios where participants choose responses from a set of pictures or text options. Programs should combine self-report, observer ratings, and performance-based tasks to obtain a complete picture. Assessment should occur before training (baseline), immediately after training, and at three-month follow-up to measure retention.

Feasibility and pilot examples of soft skills training: A pilot at a vocational center in Texas integrated soft skills into daily shop floor activities. Each morning, participants spent fifteen minutes on a structured role-play of a workplace problem such as a customer complaint or a scheduling conflict. After six weeks, participants' scores on the WSSS improved by an average of thirty-two percent. Another pilot in the United Kingdom used a peer-mentoring model where experienced disabled workers coached newer trainees on

soft skills. The assessment was done through video-recorded mock interviews, analyzed by two independent raters. Feasibility is high because soft skills training requires no expensive equipment, only trained facilitators and structured curricula. However, challenges include trainer time and the need for ongoing refresher sessions.

Research gaps and opportunities

Addressing research gaps and seizing opportunities for further exploration can enhance the effectiveness of these programs and contribute to a more equitable workforce for individuals with disabilities. Several research gaps remain.

Longitudinal impact studies: There is a need for more long-term research examining the effectiveness of vocational training programs over time (Reims & Tisch, 2022). Longitudinal studies can provide insights into the sustained impact of training on employment outcomes, job retention, and career advancement for individuals with disabilities.

Comparative analysis of program models: Further comparative analysis of different vocational training models would clarify which approaches are most effective for specific disability types and contexts (Razzak & Khaki, 2015). Researching the varying success rates across diverse populations can help tailor programs to meet unique challenges.

Barriers to employer collaboration: Investigating the barriers that employers face when hiring individuals with disabilities is critical (Nagtegaal et al., 2023). Understanding employer perceptions, concerns, and motivations can help create more effective outreach and engagement strategies and facilitate the integration of individuals into the workforce.

Technology in Vocational Training: As technology plays an increasingly significant role in vocational training, further research is needed to explore the impact of digital tools and assistive devices on learning outcomes for individuals with disabilities (Ayao et al., 2024). Studies on accessibility and usability of these technologies can inform more inclusive practices.

Cultural competency and training: Research into cultural attitudes toward disability and their impact on vocational training outcomes can provide valuable insights (Robey et al., 2013). Understanding how different cultural contexts influence perceptions and support for individuals with disabilities can lead to more culturally responsive training programs.

Employer inclusivity practices: Exploring best practices in developing inclusivity programs within businesses can yield strategies for other employers (Garrick et al., 2024). Researching case studies of successful inclusivity initiatives can help disseminate effective practices across industries and foster a more inclusive workforce overall.

In summary, the future of targeted vocational training lies in innovative approaches that leverage technology, community engagement, and person-centered designs. Pilot examples demonstrate feasibility, but scaling up requires addressing cost, training, and cultural adaptation. Soft skills training must be integrated with validated assessment methods. Filling the research gaps listed above will lead to more effective, equitable, and sustainable programs.

To operationalize the findings of this review, Table 4 summarizes tailored recommendations for each disability category, which can guide future program development and resource allocation.

Table 4: Summary of job creation recommendations by disability type

Disability type	Key recommendations
Physical disabilities	Technical skills training (electronics, mechanics), accessible workplace design, home-based business support (handicrafts, food production)

Sensory disabilities (visual/auditory)	Assistive technology training, sign language instruction, and employment, accessible content creation
Intellectual disabilities	Social skills workshops, internship programs with local companies, and supported employment positions.
Mental health disabilities	Career and psychological counseling, supportive workplace conditions, stress management training
Multiple disabilities	Comprehensive educational programs, peer support networks, and flexible work hours
General / cross-cutting	Entrepreneurship courses, volunteer programs, disability-focused job fairs, digital skills training, and online service platforms

CONCLUSION

This review synthesizes evidence from high-profile case studies and diverse disability contexts to identify three core findings. First, the social and biopsychosocial models of disability underpin successful programs, whereas medical-model approaches consistently fail to achieve sustainable employment. Second, effectiveness varies systematically by disability type: immersive internships with job coaching yield the best outcomes for intellectual disabilities, whereas assistive technology training and accessible workplace design are pivotal for sensory and physical disabilities, and psychosocial support coupled with flexible work arrangements is critical for mental health conditions. Third, the scalability of successful programs depends on four interlinked factors, funding stability, cultural adaptation, employer engagement, and policy alignment, each of which must be addressed concurrently.

For policymakers, the primary implication is that disability-inclusive vocational training cannot be a one-size-fits-all intervention. Funding mechanisms should support personalized assessment, hands-on learning, and sustained follow-up, while also incentivizing employer partnerships. For practitioners, the review underscores the need to embed soft skills training, peer support, and regular impact evaluation into program design.

Critically, the evidence from low- and middle-income countries remains scarce; future research must prioritize longitudinal outcome studies, culturally adapted program models, and cost-effectiveness analyses. Without such evidence, the global goal of inclusive employment will remain aspirational rather than achievable. Ultimately, targeted vocational training, when implemented within a rights-based framework (CRPD, CBR Guidelines), is not only an economic imperative but also a moral obligation to ensure dignity and equal opportunity for all.

DECLARATION

Ethical considerations: Not applicable, as this review relied solely on secondary sources.

Data availability statement: No primary data were generated or analyzed in this study. All sources used are published articles cited in the reference list.

Funding: The authors received no financial support or funding for the conduct of this study, authorship, or publication of this article.

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that they have no competing interests or conflicts of interest related to this study or its publication.

REFERENCES

- Aguirre, J. (2021). Long-term effects of grants and loans for vocational education. *Journal of Public Economics*, 204, 104539. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpubeco.2021.104539>
- Ayao, D., Gunarhadi, G., bin Mohd Yasin, M. H., & Subagya, S. (2024). The Impact of Digitalization on Improving Vocational Skills Mastery for Learning Disabilities Special Education Needs Students (SENs): A Literature Review Analysis. *Proceeding of International Conference on Special Education in South East Asia Region*,
- Barrera-Osorio, F., Kugler, A., & Silliman, M. (2023). Hard and soft skills in vocational training: Experimental evidence from Colombia. *The World Bank Economic Review*, 37(3), 409-436.
- Bartram, T., & Cavanagh, J. (2019). Re-thinking vocational education and training: Creating opportunities for workers with disability in open employment. *Journal of Vocational Education & Training*, 71(3), 339-349. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1080/13636820.2019.1638168>
- Bumble, J. L., Carter, E. W., Gajjar, S., Valentini, B., & Brown, B. (2022). Community conversations on independent living: understanding the perspectives and support needs of persons with disabilities living in the southeast United States. *Disabil Rehabil*, 44(19), 5520-5529. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09638288.2021.1938249>
- Cimera, R. E. (2014). Reducing the Cost of Providing Supported Employment Services: A Preliminary Study. *Journal of Rehabilitation*, 80(3), 4-10.
- Protection, use, and release of personal information, § 7 CCR 1105-1-9.103 (2017). <https://www.sos.state.co.us/CCR/GenerateRulePdf.do?ruleVersionId=8559&fileName=7%20CCR%201105-1>
- Cridlin, L. D. (2007). The importance of hands-on learning. *International Laser Safety Conference*,
- Cunningham-Erves, J., Joosten, Y., Bruce, M. A., Elzey, J., Luther, P., Lipham, L., Vaughn, Y., Micah, T., Wilkins, C. H., & Miller, S. T. (2018). Comprehensive strategy for capturing and integrating community input into community research training curricula. *J Clin Transl Sci*, 2(1), 1-7. <https://doi.org/10.1017/cts.2018.11>
- Dirkareshza, R., Akbar, S. S., & Abi Zaifa, G. (2024). Exploring Employment Rights for Individuals with Disabilities: A Cross-National Comparative Analysis in The Context Of Fair Competition and Sustainable Development Goals. *Alauddin Law Development Journal*, 6(2), 195-213. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.24252/aldev.v6i2.46473>
- Disability Rights UK. (2016). *Peer support could boost work prospects for disabled people*. Retrieved 6/1/2026 from <https://www.disabilityrightsuk.org/news/2016/may/peer-support-could-boost-work-prospects-disabled-people>
- Dolby, L. (2025). *Empowerment and advocacy: Building the future one student at a time*. Retrieved 6/1/2026 from <https://learning.njea.org/empowerment-and-advocacy-building-the-future-one-student-at-a-time/>
- Druss, B. G. (2014). Supported employment over the long term: from effectiveness to sustainability. *Am J Psychiatry*, 171(11), 1142-1144. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1176/appi.ajp.2014.14070900>
- Ehrlich-Jones, L. S., Crown, D. S., Tomazin, S. E., Wong, J., Kallish, N., Wafford, Q. E., & Heinemann, A. W. (2025). Use and benefits of peer mentoring in support of employment for persons with physical disabilities: a systematic review. *Disabil Rehabil*, 47(19), 4896-4903. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09638288.2025.2463616>
- Fletcher, C. N., Garasky, S. B., Jensen, H. H., & Nielsen, R. B. (2010). Transportation access: A key employment barrier for rural low-income families. *Journal of Poverty*, 14(2), 123-144.
- Fullana, J., Pallisera, M., Catala, E., & Puyalto, C. (2017). Evaluating a Research Training Programme for People with Intellectual Disabilities Participating in Inclusive Research: The Views of Participants. *J Appl Res Intellect Disabil*, 30(4), 684-695. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jar.12262>
- Garrick, A., Johnson, W. D., & Arendt, S. W. (2024). Breaking Barriers: Strategies for Fostering Inclusivity in The Workplace. *International Journal of Academic Research in Business and Social Sciences*, 14(2). <https://doi.org/10.6007/IJARBS/v14-i2/20799>
- Goering, S. (2015). Rethinking disability: the social model of disability and chronic disease. *Curr Rev Musculoskelet Med*, 8(2), 134-138. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12178-015-9273-z>
- Gupta, T. (2024). Adaptive Learning Systems: Harnessing AI to Personalize Educational Outcomes. *International Journal for Research in Applied Science and Engineering Technology*, 2(11). <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.22214/ijraset.2024.65088>

- Hackmann, D. G., Malin, J. R., Fuller Hamilton, A. N., & O'donnell, L. (2019). Supporting personalized learning through individualized learning plans. *The Clearing House: A Journal of Educational Strategies, Issues and Ideas*, 92(1-2), 63-70.
- Hagner, D., McGahie, K., & Cloutier, H. (2001). A model career assistance process for individuals with severe disabilities. *Journal of Employment Counseling*, 38(4), 197-206.
- Hall, A. C., Freeze, S., Butterworth, J., & Hoff, D. (2011). Employment funding for intellectual/developmental disability systems. *Journal of Vocational Rehabilitation*, 34(1), 1-15.
- Hawley, J. D., Sommers, D., & Meléndez, E. (2005). The impact of institutional collaborations on the earnings of adult workforce education completers. *Adult Education Quarterly*, 56(1), 21-38.
- Hernandez, B., Cometa, M. J., Velcoff, J., Rosen, J., Schober, D., & Luna, R. D. (2007). Perspectives of people with disabilities on employment, vocational rehabilitation, and the Ticket to Work program. *Journal of Vocational Rehabilitation*, 27(3), 191-201.
- Herps, M. A., Buntinx, W. H., Schalock, R. L., van Breukelen, G. J., & Curfs, L. M. (2016). Individual support plans of people with intellectual disabilities in residential services: content analysis of goals and resources in relation to client characteristics. *J Intellect Disabil Res*, 60(3), 254-262. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jir.12245>
- Higashida, M. (2019). Experiences of disabled people making the transition from vocational training to employment in Sri Lanka: an exploratory study. *Asia Pacific Journal of Social Work and Development*, 29(3), 194-208.
- Kalef, L., Barrera, M., & Heymann, J. (2014). Developing inclusive employment: lessons from Telenor Open Mind. *Work*, 48(3), 423-434. <https://doi.org/10.3233/WOR-131783>
- Kandalaf, M. R., Didehbani, N., Krawczyk, D. C., Allen, T. T., & Chapman, S. B. (2013). Virtual reality social cognition training for young adults with high-functioning autism. *J Autism Dev Disord*, 43(1), 34-44. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10803-012-1544-6>
- Katz, E., & DeRose, R. (2011). A call to action: The 2010 survey of employment of Americans with disabilities. *J Spinal Cord Med*, 34(1), 4-5. <https://doi.org/10.1179/107902610X12931186126969>
- Korchagin, E. A., Safin, R. S., Vildanov, I. E., Abitov, R. N., Sharafutdinova, A. V., & Naumova, D. B. (2017). On the Designing of Curriculum for Flexible Vocational Training of Undergraduates at Engineering Universities. *Modern Journal of Language Teaching Methods*, 7(3), 429.
- Kupenda for the Children. (2025). *How Baraka is Thriving in a Vocation Program and Inspiring His Peers and Teachers to Support Inclusion for Students who are Hard of Hearing*. Retrieved 6/1/2026 from <https://kupenda.org/how-baraka-is-thriving-in-a-vocation-program-and-inspiring-his-peers-and-teachers-to-support-inclusion-for-students-with-hearing-impairments/>
- Lindsay, S., L, R. H., & Fellin, M. (2016). A systematic review of mentorship programs to facilitate transition to post-secondary education and employment for youth and young adults with disabilities. *Disabil Rehabil*, 38(14), 1329-1349. <https://doi.org/10.3109/09638288.2015.1092174>
- Lindsey, S. (2022). The ABLE Program: A Specially Designed Adaptive Sports Program for Youth With Complex Disabilities. *The American Journal of Occupational Therapy*, 76(Supplement_1), 7610505035p7610505031-7610505035p7610505031.
- Mau, A. F., & Derung, T. N. (2025). Menuju Dunia Kerja yang Inklusif bagi Penyandang Disabilitas: Tinjauan Laborem Exercens Art. 22. *WISSEN: Jurnal Ilmu Sosial dan Humaniora*, 3(1), 38-50.
- Mazzotti, V. L., Rowe, D. A., Kwiatek, S., Voggt, A., Chang, W.-H., Fowler, C. H., Poppen, M., Sinclair, J., & Test, D. W. (2021). Secondary transition predictors of postschool success: An update to the research base. *Career Development and Transition for Exceptional Individuals*, 44(1), 47-64.
- Mishev, K., Ristovska, A. K., Rashikj-Canevska, O., & Simjanoska, M. (2021). Assistive e-Learning Software Modules to Aid Education Process of Students with Visual and Hearing Impairment: A Case Study in North Macedonia. *International Conference on ICT Innovations*,
- Nagtegaal, R., de Boer, N., van Berkel, R., Derks, B., & Tummers, L. (2023). Why Do Employers (Fail to) Hire People with Disabilities? A Systematic Review of Capabilities, Opportunities and Motivations. *J Occup Rehabil*, 33(2), 329-340. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10926-022-10076-1>

- Nuri, M. R. P., Hoque, M. T., Akand, M. M. K., & Waldron, S. M. (2012). Impact assessment of a vocational training program for persons with disabilities in Bangladesh. *Disability, CBR & Inclusive Development*, 23(3), 76-89.
- O'Day, B. (2009). *Project SEARCH: Opening Doors to Employment for Young People With Disabilities*. Retrieved 6/1/2026 from <https://www.mathematica.org/publications/project-search-opening-doors-to-employment-for-young-people-with-disabilities>
- Polidano, C., & Mavromaras, K. (2011). Participation in and completion of vocational education and training for people with a disability. *Australian Economic Review*, 44(2), 137-152.
- Ragazzi, E., & Sella, L. (2013). *Una valutazione di impatto delle politiche formative regionali: il caso piemontese*. <https://ideas.repec.org/p/csc/cerisp/201315.html>
- Rao, K., Gravel, J. W., Rose, D. H., & Tucker-Smith, T. N. (2023). Universal Design for Learning in its 3rd decade: A focus on equity, inclusion, and design. *International encyclopedia of education*, 6, 712-720.
- Razzak, A., & Khaki, M. Z. (2015). Designing a model of vocational training programs for disables in Pakistan. *Online J New Horiz Educ*, 5, 27.
- Reims, N., & Tisch, A. (2022). Employment effects for people with disabilities after participation in vocational training programmes: A cohort analysis using propensity score matching. *Work*, 72(2), 611-625. <https://doi.org/10.3233/WOR-205046>
- Riggio, R., Carducci, B., Nave, C., & Mio, J. (2020). Social Skills in the Workplace. *The development of workplace social skills*, 1, 527-531. <https://doi.org/10.1002/9781119547181.ch352>
- Rios, Y. C., Park, S., Chen, X., & Tansey, T. N. (2023). Collaborations to support employment outcomes of youth with disabilities. *Rehabilitation Counselors and Educators Journal*, 12(1).
- Robey, K. L., Minihan, P. M., Long-Bellil, L. M., Hahn, J. E., Reiss, J. G., Eddey, G. E., & Alliance for Disability in Health Care, E. (2013). Teaching health care students about disability within a cultural competency context. *Disabil Health J*, 6(4), 271-279. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dhjo.2013.05.002>
- Rodríguez López, L. M. (2022). *Community Scholars: A Diversity Pipeline Program to Promote Equity, Diversity, and Inclusion for People with Disabilities* [University of South Florida]. <https://digitalcommons.usf.edu/etd/9812/>
- Sideraki, A. (2024). Exploring the therapeutic potential of virtual reality and augmented reality in social skills training for individuals with autism spectrum disorder.
- Smith, E., Smith, A., Tuck, J., & Callan, V. (2017). *Continuity and Change: Employers' Training Practices and Partnerships with Training Providers*. Research Report. ERIC.
- Sorgini, F., Calio, R., Carrozza, M. C., & Oddo, C. M. (2018). Haptic-assistive technologies for audition and vision sensory disabilities. *Disabil Rehabil Assist Technol*, 13(4), 394-421. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17483107.2017.1385100>
- Srinivasu, P., Parkavi, S., Ragul, P., Panotra, N., Thrilekha, D., Upadhyay, L., Meghana, B. S., & Sakhamo, K. (2024). A Critical Review on Fostering Community Involvement in Sustainable Horticulture Initiatives. *Journal of Scientific Research and Reports*, 30(8), 394-404. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.9734/jsrr/2024/v30i82262>
- Sung, C., Fisher, M. H., Okyere, C., Park, J., & Choi, H. (2023). Employment outcomes and support needs of Michigan Project SEARCH graduates with intellectual and developmental disabilities: A mixed-method study. *Journal of Vocational Rehabilitation*(Preprint), 1-17.
- Takasaki, Y. (2024). Impacts of vocational training for persons with disabilities: Experimental evidence from Cambodia. *Journal of Development Economics*, 169, 103277.
- Tasse, M. J., Luckasson, R., & Schalock, R. L. (2016). The Relation Between Intellectual Functioning and Adaptive Behavior in the Diagnosis of Intellectual Disability. *Intellect Dev Disabil*, 54(6), 381-390. <https://doi.org/10.1352/1934-9556-54.6.381>
- Thompson, P., Williams, R., & Kwong, C. (2017). Factors holding back small third sector organizations' engagement with the local public sector. *Nonprofit Management and Leadership*, 27(4), 513-531.

Universidade Católica Portuguesa. (2025). *After the success of the 1st edition, the Peer2Peer project brings together students and young people with disabilities again*. Retrieved 6/1/2026 from <https://www.ucp.pt/news/after-success-1st-edition-peer2peer-project-brings-together-students-and-young-people>

Waddell, G., Burton, A. K., & Aylward, M. (2008). A biopsychosocial model of sickness and disability. *AMA Guides® Newsletter*, 13(3), 1-13.

Wickenden, M., Mader, P., Thompson, S., & Shaw, J. (2022). Mainstreaming disability inclusive employment in international development.

Zero Project. (2019). *National mentorship model building confidence and disability-inclusive workplaces*. Retrieved 6/1/2026 from <https://zeroproject.org/view/project/745655ad-5449-483c-af19-dd548a95075b>

Ziderman, A. (2018). Funding mechanisms for financing vocational training: An analytical framework. *Education Finance, Equality, and Equity*, 135-164.